

Student Response Systems in the Foreign Language Classroom: An Empirical Analysis of Potential Benefits for Learner Engagement, Motivation and Recall

David N Brown
Language Teaching Unit
Esstin, Université Henri Poincaré
david.brown@esstin.uhp-nancy.fr

Engineering students in France



Engineering students:

- 👉 Academically very bright
- 👉 Not very communicative
- 👉 Foreign language seen as an abstraction
- 👉 Expectations clash with classroom activities

Research context:

- 👉 In the past, 10 to 12
- 👉 Now, no fewer than 24
- 👉 Because of increased numbers, learner attitudes and student culture ➡ seek alternatives

Past research



Vast and covers all disciplines:

- 👉 Body of research dates back more than two decades
- 👉 SRSs broadly recognised as being beneficial
(Trees & Jackson 2007)
- 👉 Not much in the area of foreign-language learning
- 👉 One previous (qualitative) study ⇔ Schmid (2008)

Quantitative Investigation

148 engineering students:

- 👉 Mandatory in-session English course
- 👉 Six mixed ability language groups (24-26 per class)
- 👉 Secondary-school English 5 to 8 years
- 👉 Students starting a five-year curriculum
- 👉 Pre-test scores – lower intermediate (545 / 990)
- 👉 405 to 600 is the fourth level of six (Toeic can-do guide)
- 👉 B1 on the Common European Language Reference

Procedure

All results analyzed via a Mann-Whitney Test

The students were divided into two blocks

Six multiple-choice quizzes

- Each quiz – two sections:

- ☞ 10 questions on recent content (maximum 2 weeks)

- ☞ 10 questions on older content (4 weeks or more)

SRS Block

- ☞ video projector

- ☞ 30 seconds

- ☞ immediate scores

- ☞ immediate feedback

Paper Block

- ☞ paper copies

- ☞ ten minutes to reply

- ☞ no discussion or feedback or scores

- ☞ deferred feedback and scores

After the investigation, all participants filled in a 20-item self-report questionnaire

- ☞ potential benefits of regular quizzes

Results 1

Will SRS use contribute positively to overall learner attitudes, cognitive engagement and recall in language learners enrolled in in-session language courses, as measured by regular quizzes on use-of-English course content?:

Table 1: Average values on final test scores / 80 (SRS versus Paper)

	SRS Users (N = 63)	Paper Users (N = 57)	<i>p</i>
Average Score / 80	47.41	47.47	0.48

Results 2

Table 2: Mean values of overall differences between All SRS quiz scores and All Paper quiz scores / 120
Average scores: 74.00 (SRS N = 63) and 70.81 (Paper N = 57)

Mann-Whitney Test UOE All SRS / UOE ALL Paper		$U_a = 1558$		
Mean for Ranks		z	$p_{(1)}$	$p_{(2)}$
Block A SRS $N_a = 63$ 64.3	Block B Paper $N_b = 57$ 56.3	1.25	0.1056	0.2113

Results 3

Table 3: Mean values of differences between STR SRS quiz scores and STR Paper quiz scores / 60
Average scores: 38.65 (SRS N = 63) and 39.79 (Paper N = 57)

Mann-Whitney Test UOE STR SRS / UOE STR Paper		$U_a = 1957.5$		
Mean for Ranks		z	$p_{(1)}$	$p_{(2)}$
Block A SRS	Block B Paper	-0.85	0.1977	0.3953
$N_a = 63$	$N_b = 57$			
57.9	63.3			

Results 4

Table 4: Mean values of differences between LTR SRS quiz scores and LTR Paper quiz scores / 60
Average scores: 35.35 (SRS N = 63) and 31.02 (Paper N = 57)

Mann-Whitney Test UOE LTR SRS / UOE LTR Paper		$U_a = 1270.5$		
Mean for Ranks		z	$p_{(1)}$	$p_{(2)}$
Block A SRS $N_a = 63$ 68.8	Block B Paper $N_b = 57$ 51.3	2.76	0.0029	0.0058

Implications



The success of this type of technology may depend on:

- The learners as individuals (Language identity and the L2 self?)
- Their culture
- Their specialist subject
- The educational culture of their country of origin
- The educational sub-culture of their institution
- The type of feedback they expect
- etc

Their enhanced long-term recall seems to suggest

- Peer interaction more meaningful
- More focused teacher / learner dialogue

In other words, strategies usually developed or implemented for quizzes

- Extrinsic motivation
- Superficial

are being cancelled out by the strategies implemented during SRS use, stimulate durable learning or cognitive schemata. (Middleton & C Midgley, 1997)

Student Response Systems
in the Foreign Language Classroom:
An Empirical Analysis of Potential Benefits
for Learner Engagement and Motivation

Questions?

David N Brown
Head of the Language Teaching Unit
Esstin, Université Henri Poincaré
david.brown@esstin.uhp-nancy.fr

- Bernat E (2004). Investigating Vietnamese ESL learners' beliefs about language learning. *English Australia Journal*, 21, 40-54
- Brown DN (2009). Performance orientation and motivational strategies in high-achievement language learners. *LIDIL*, 40, 105-121.
- Brown KW & RM Ryan (2003). The benefits of being present: The role of mindfulness in psychological well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 84, 822-848.
- Fassinger PA (1995). Teachers' and Students' perceptions of why students participate in class. *Teaching Sociology*, 24, 25-33.
- Iyengar SS & MR Lepper (1999). Rethinking the value of choice: a cultural perspective on intrinsic motivation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 76, 349-366.
- McKeachie W (1990). Research on college teaching: The historical background. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82, 189-200.
- Middleton MJ & C Midgley (1997). Avoiding the demonstration of lack of ability: an underexplored aspect of goal theory. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 89, 710-719.
- Prince MJ & RM Felder (2006). Inductive Teaching and Learning Methods: Definitions, Comparisons, and Research Bases. *Journal of Engineering Education*, April 2006. On line: http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_qa3886/is_200604/ai_n17186573/?tag=content;col1 (retrieved February 2010).
- Roschelle J (2003). Unlocking the learning value of wireless mobile devices. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 19, 260-272.
- Schmid EC (2008). Using a voting system in conjunction with interactive whiteboard technology to enhance learning in the English language classroom. *Computers and Education*, 50, 338-356.
- Smith D (1977). College classroom interactions and critical thinking. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 69, 180-190.
- The Common European Framework in its political and educational context. On line: http://www.coe.int/t/dg4/linguistic/Source/Framework_EN.pdf (retrieved July 2010).
- Trees AR & MH Jackson (2007). The learning environment in clicker classrooms: student processes of learning and involvement in large university-level courses using student response systems. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 32, 21-40.