UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM

REFLECTIVE **OBSERVATIONS** – THROWING AWAY THE CHECK-LIST. (WELL, ALMOST!) THEORY and PRACTICE

M.LOUGHLIN, UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM BFA/EISU/BME PRESESSIONAL PROGRAMME

MPL/BME 2012

5/3/2013

HOW TEACHERS SEE OBSERVATIONS?





UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM

WHAT WE WANTED TO MOVE ON FROM

•	Classroom Observation Form					
•	Open Ended – (Form A)					
•						
•	Para Colonia di					
)	Faculty Date of Observation					
•	Observer					
)						
)						
•	1. Development of learning objectives:					
•	Are objectives for the class given verbally, written, or not at all?					
•	Are specific instructional outcomes used?					
•	Are objectives discussed at the end of class?					
•						
•						
•	2. Selection and use of instructional materials:					
•	Do films, websites, and other audiovisual materials have a clear purpose?					
•	Are handouts appropriate in number and subject?					
•	Since the text may be pre-selected, does instructor give help with reading or using the text, if necessary?					
•						
•						
•	3. Educational climate for learning:					
•	Are students AND teacher interested and enthusiastic?					

- Does the instructor use student names?
- Is humor used appropriately?
- Does instructor not embarrass or belittle students in any way?
- Is the atmosphere of the classroom participative?
- Did the instructor have eye contact with students?

4. Variety of instructional activities:

Ones timing of classroom activities consider attention spans?

Does his a stor involve students in deciding what issues to discuss?

5. Preparation for class session

Provide examples that show preparation by insta-

UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM

THEORETICAL PRINCIPLES BEHIND THE NEW OBSERVATIONS:

- Course-link
- Teacher-centred
- Professional development
- Positivity
- Flexibility



CRITERIA

- Student needs
- Student autonomy
- Text & discourse analysis
- Teaching practices
- Academic context



PRE-OBSERVATION PROMPTS

- Choice of this/these objective(s) for the lesson to be observed
- Knowing if the students have understood the objective(s)
- Student outcomes aiming for in this class



POST-OBSERVATION PROMPTS

Climate-setting

How do you feel it went?

Review

How do you think the students' experienced the lesson?

Problem-solving

Looking back, is there anything you might have done differently?

Goal-setting

Did anything happen which you might use to develop your skills?



DEVELOPMENT and QUALITY

AREA 1	STUDENT NEEDS	AS	RS	NI	COMMENTS
1.1	USES A VARIETY OF TASKS AND MATERIALS APPROPRIATE TO LEARNER ROLES AND STYLES				IS THERE ANY WAY STUDENTS MIGHT HAVE BEEN MORE INVOLVED IN DESIGNING THE WORKSHEETS?
AREA 2	STUDENT AUTONOMY	AS	RS	NI	COMMENTS
2.2	ENCOURAGES STUDENT CHOICE AND/OR PROMOTES STUDENT RESPONSIBILITY FOR LEARNING IN TASKS				WHAT OPPORTUNITY DID THE STUDENTS HAVE FOR CHOOSING THEIR ROLES?
AREA 3	TEXT & DISCOURSE ANALYSIS	AS	RS	NI	COMMENTS
3.1	PROVIDES OPPORTUNITIES FOR CRITICAL THINKING, E.G. ANALYSIS, SYNTHESIS, EVALUATION IN LEARNING ACTIVITIES LISTENING READING SPEAKING WRITING				HOW DID YOU EVALUATE THAT THE STUDENTS UNDERSTOOD THEIR ROLES?
AREA 4	TEACHING PRACTICES	AS	RS	NI	COMMENTS
4.4	GIVES APPROPRIATE FEEDBACK ON ORAL AND WRITTEN STUDENT PERFORMANCE				WERE THE STUDENTS COMFORTABLE WITH YOUR PRONUNCIATION FEEDBACK?
AREA 5	ACADEMIC CONTEXT	AS	RS	NI	COMMENTS
5.2	DEMONSTRATES KNOWLEDGE AND TEACHING OF ETHICAL ACADEMIC PRACTICE				WHAT BENEFITS DID THE STUDENTS GET FROM SHARING THEIR NOTE TAKING TECHNIQUES?

UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM

WHAT WOULD WE SAY TO THIS TEACHER?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RjBMuHK 1TXM

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OCf7Q6Ujc cg

UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM

SUCCESSES AND ...

- TEACHERS TENDENCY TO MODESTY!
- AN EXTRA TEACHER TASK
- TIME CONSUMING
- GETTING THE CONVERSATION RIGHT
- GREATER FOCUS ON TEACHER SKILLS
- MORE TEACHER AUTONOMY
- MORE FOCUSED DEVELOPMENT SESSIONS
- FEED INTO PEER OBSERVATION TECHNIQUES

UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

BIBLIOGRAPHY

BALEAP (2008) Competency Framework for Teachers of English for Academic Purposes. Available from: www.baleap.org.uk/media/.../teap-competency-framework.pdf [Accessed on 10/11/2011]

Cruickshank, D.R. (1987) Reflective teaching. Reston, V A: Association of Teacher Educators.

Day, C. (2005) The development of teachers' personal practical knowledge through school based curriculum projects.

Basingstoke: Falmer Press.

Dewey, J. (1933) How we think: A restatement of the relations of reflective thinking to the educative process, 2nd rev. ed. Lexington, MA: Heath.

Korthagen, A. Vasalos, P (2005) Levels in reflection: Core reflection as a means to enhance professional growth. Teachers and Teaching, 11 (1), pp. 47–71

Orland-Barak, L. & Yinon, H. (2005) When theory meets practice: What student teachers learn from guided reflection on their own classroom discourse. Teaching and Teacher Education 23 (2007) 957–969. Haifa: University of Haifa

Schön, D.A. (1983) The reflective practitioner - how professionals think in action. USA: Basic Books,

Sheal, P. (1989) Classroom observation: training the observers. ELT Journal 43(2): 92-104

Tsai, H. (2008) Improving an EFL class: starting from classroom observations Asian EFL journal: volume 10. Issue 2 article 4

Williams, M. (1989) A developmental view of classroom observations. ELT Journal, 43(2), 85-91.

UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM